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## Background

- Seasonal Affective Disorder (SAD) is a significant mental health issue, particularly among Alaskan women [1]
- Mice and rats are the most prevalent rodent models for biomedical research; however, because they are nocturnal, they may be poor models for SAD [2]
- Grass rats (Arvicanthis niloticus) have emerged as a potentially useful diurnal model for SAD, as they exhibit depressive-like behaviors under short photoperiods [3,4]

## Specific Aims

- Measure sleep, activity, and sucrose consumption in response to shortened photoperiod in a diurnal rodent model, the Nile grass rat (Arvicanthis niloticus)
- Determine how well this species’ responses to short photoperiod parallel those of humans diagnosed with SAD

## Methods

- Animals were either maintained on a neutral photoperiod (PP) (n=23, 12L:12D), or transferred onto a short PP (n=22; 4L:20D)
- Half of the animals in each PP group were given access to a second water bottle containing 8% sucrose solution; the other half were given a second water bottle
- We monitored sleep-wake behaviors using piezoelectric sheets

### Four Groups:
- Neutral PP no sugar
- Neutral PP high sugar
- Short PP no sugar
- Short PP high sugar

## Results

- **Figure 2.** Proportion of the 0% (pure water) and 8% sugar solution consumed by grass rats, relative to water in their original water bottle.

- **Figure 3.** Mass change of grass rats in each of the four treatment groups.

- **Figure 4.** Percentage hourly sleep (± SE) of grass rats in both photoperiod treatments.

- **Figure 5.** Mean hourly sleep bout duration of grass rats was not affected by photoperiod or by sugar treatment (none = water, high = 8% sucrose solution).

## Findings

- Grass rats with access to high sucrose solution consumed this preferentially over water, but sucrose consumption was not affected by photoperiod (Fig. 2)
- Neutral photoperiod animals gained significantly more weight than animals exposed to short photoperiods, but weight gain was not affected by access to sugar (Fig. 3)
- Patterns of activity and sleep were disrupted under short photoperiods (Fig 1 & Fig 4), but sleep bout duration was unaffected (Fig 5)
- Most grass rats had fatty livers with microvesicular and/or macrovesicular steatosis (data not shown), though this was not clearly influenced by PP or access to sugar

## Conclusion

Short photoperiods disrupted patterns of activity and sleep in grass rats. However, while some humans that suffer from SAD exhibit carbohydrate craving and mass gain, a similar response to short PP does not appear to be present in the grass rat model.
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